

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5600	
10/618,393	07/12/2003	Nils Krahnstoever	AI-0014-JPO		
7590 12/11/2006		•	EXAMINER		
Rajeev Sharma Advanced Interfaces, Inc.			YUAN, KATHLEEN S		
Suite 104	races, inc.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
403 South Allen		2624			
State College, I	A 16801	DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	,			
Office Action Summary		10/618,3		KRAHNSTOEVER ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Kathleen	S. Yuan	2624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statustic to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e lication. tory period will apply and v II, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tir vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 July 2003.						
<i>′</i> —	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s)iorars unousus. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	☐ Claim(s) israte objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 7/12/2003.	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The enceification shall conclude with one or more claims porticularly pointing cut and disting
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1- 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claim 1, the scope of the claim is unclear as to whether the claim is attempting to claim a method or an apparatus. The claim should recite one or the other.
- 4. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the color model" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. The remaining claims are rejected because they are dependent on another claim that is rejected by 35 USC 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2624

- 7. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 6985620 (Sawhney et al).
- 8. Regarding claim 1, Sawhney et al discloses a robust apparatus and method for visually tracking an object in three dimensions (fig. 4, and the apparatus that carries out fig. 4), comprising steps of (a) capturing the object with two or more imaging sensors (fig. 1, items 108); (b) maintaining a large number of 3D target location hypotheses shown by steps 400 and 404 in fig. 4, since there are many relevant features that are hypotheses of the 3D target location of the 3D model (fig. 3, solid lines); (c) projecting each target location hypothesis from 3D space to 2D image spaces of said imaging sensors, since the 3D relevant features are projected into the estimated pose of the camera, a 2D image (fig. 4, item 406); (d) measuring in images of captured said imaging sensors, confidences about the presence of said target object, since the error is measured (fig. 4, item 410) which is directly related to the confidence since the more error there is, the less confidence there is; and (e) combining said measured confidences of said hypotheses, since all the errors are used (fig. 4, items 412) to obtain 3D location of said target object, the updated pose.
- 9. Regarding claim 3, Sawhney et al discloses that the apparatus is a computer, comprising a video capture apparatus (fig. 1, items 108 and col. 4, line 19), memory, such as optical storage media (col. 15, line 29) and a processor, that which processes and performs the functions of a computer readable media (col. 15, lines 24-27).
- 10. Regarding claim 5, Sawhney et al discloses that the projecting target locations (fig. 4, item 406) is performed with projections that are obtained by calibrating said video

Art Unit: 2624

sensors with respect to a reference coordinate system, since the correct range is determined for the video sensors based on the estimated pose (fig. 4, item 402) in order to perform the projections with respect to a reference coordinate system, the 3D coordinate system of the model (fig. 4, item 400).

- 11. Regarding claim 6, Sawhney et al discloses measuring confidences is performed based on color when disclosing that a color model can be used in fig. 4, step 404 (col. 5, lines 30-31) and motion cues when disclosing that confidences are measured after the step of using the motion cue of a previous frame in step 402 of fig. 4 (col. 4, lines 64-67).
- 12. Regarding claim 7, a color model is used to calculate color cues (col. 5, lines 30-31)
- 13. Regarding claim 9, Sawhney et al discloses motion cues are calculated by calculating differences between images captured sequentially by the said imaging sensors since an assumed motion is found and can be used to find the next frame, and since optical flow can be used to estimate the estimated pose (col. 4, line 64- col. 5, line 8).
- 14. Regarding claim 10, Sawhney et al discloses that maintaining 3D target location hypothesis is performed by creating at each time step, since this method can be used for a sequence of frames (col. 3, line 64) a set of 3D target location hypotheses (fig. 4, item 404 and fig. 3, solid lines).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

Application/Control Number: 10/618,393

15. Regarding claim 11, Sawhney et al discloses that the 3D target location hypotheses are created based on known 3D target location hypotheses from a previous time step (col. 4, lines 64-65).

- 16. Regarding claim 12, Sawhney et al discloses 3D target locations are initially distributed randomly in the space viewed by said imaging sensors, when interpreting the 3D target locations as all the locations of the model, as seen in fig. 2 (step 400, fig. 4), all distributed randomly in space. Later, the target locations are reduced to only the relevant features in fig. 3 (fig. 4, step 404).
- 17. Regarding claim 13, maintaining 3D target location hypotheses involves adding random displacements to said location hypothesis at each time step, displacements estimated by optical flow and RANSAC (col. 5, lines 2-18).
- 18. Regarding claim 14, Sawhney et al discloses that combining said measurements involve calculating the average of the locations of said 3D target location hypotheses, since the location of the 3D target locations is the same pose, thus, the average of all the hypotheses is the pose. At step 412 of fig. 4, the combining matching errors involve the calculated location, or pose.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2624

20. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawhney et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 7106885 (Osterwell).

Regarding claim 2, Sawhney et al discloses all of the claimed elements as set forth above and incorporated herein by reference. Sawhney et al further discloses using color models and color in the system (col. 5, lines 30-31).

Sawhney et al does not disclose expressly that the imaging sensors are color cameras.

Osterwell discloses capturing images in color for later use in processing, thus the image sensors are color cameras (col. 2, lines 46-50).

Sawhney et al and Osterwell are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e. evaluating image positioning (Osterwell, col. 1, lines 15-16)

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a color camera to gain color information for later processing.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to get the quickest, most accurate representation of the object by directly providing color information from the camera instead of trying to derive the color in some other manner from a non-color image.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the method and apparatus of Sawhney et al with the color camera of Osterwell to obtain the invention as specified in claim 2.

21. Regarding claim 4, Osterwell discloses using IEEE1394 (col. 12, lines 4-5).

Art Unit: 2624

22. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawhney et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6658136 (Brumitt).

Sawhney et al discloses all of the claimed elements as set forth above and incorporated herein by reference. Sawhney et al further discloses a color model is used to calculate color cues (col. 5, lines 30-31).

Sawhney et al does not disclose expressly the color model of the target is represented by a histogram that is estimated by collecting color samples of the target object

Brumitt discloses that color histograms are used to compare characterizations of objects (col. 3, lines 10-16).

Sawhney et al and Brumitt are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e. tracking images (Brumitt, col. 1, lines 9-10).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a color histogram to represent the color model.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide an accurate way to characterize an image that can be easily compared.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the apparatus and method Sawhney et al with the color histogram model of Brumitt to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

23. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawhney et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6072903 (Maki et al).

Regarding claim 15, Sawhney et al discloses all of the claimed elements as set forth above and incorporated herein by reference.

Sawhney et al does not disclose expressly target is a human appendage.

Maki et al discloses a target to be tracked is a human appendage, a head (col. 1, lines 11-12).

Sawhney et al and Maki et al are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e. tracking objects (Maki et al, col. 1, lines 11-12).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to track a human appendage such as the head.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide a more flexible system by providing additional objects to be tracked instead of simply the shapes discloses by Sawhney et al as an example, and to provide a means for personnel identification by finding the head which is a common way to identify a person.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the apparatus and method of Sawhney et al with the tracking of a human appendage as disclosed by Maki et al to obtain the invention as specified in claim 15.

Regarding claim 16, Maki et al discloses that the target is a human head (col. 1, lines 11-12).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen S. Yuan whose telephone number is (571)272-2902. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursdays, 9 AM to 5 PM.

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso can be reached on (571)272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KY 11/27/2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLE.